

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/371,760	09/371,760 08/10/1999		TOMOYUKI FUNAKI	25484.00750	9629
25224	7590	02/03/2004		EXAMINER	
		ERSTER, LLP	NOLAN, DANIEL A		
555 WEST FIFTH STREET SUITE 3500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1024				2654	30
				DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Analicanta					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	09/371,760	FUNAKI, TOMOYUKI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Daniel A. Nolan	2654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	<u> 1 December 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice undependence of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 22-27</u> is/are pending in the a	application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd							
5) Claim(s) 5,22,24 and 26 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23,25 and 27</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 August 1999 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		·					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the preparation of the international in the second of the preparation of the	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language parts. The translation of the foreign language parts.	• •						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/371,760

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Amendment

- 2. The response filed 31 December 2003 has been entered to the following effect:
- Claims 6-21 are cancelled and the objections and rejections are withdrawn as moot.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 31 December 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The contention that the maze width being set before the exercise begins teaches against the features of the claim happens not to be the case, as Zimmerman provides reference signal (55 in figure 2, see column 10 lines 12-26).

In response to applicant's argument that the width can vary the difficulty of the exercise, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a

Art Unit: 2654

process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Zimmerman^{'789}

4. Claims 23, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zimmerman (U.S. Patent 5,287,789).

Regarding claims 23, 25 and 27, Zimmerman⁷⁸⁹ discloses the feature that an input section that receives sound signals to be analyzed (2nd line of Abstract), a characteristic extraction section (column 5 lines 21-22) that extracts at least one of upper & lower pitch limits of a sound signal as it is received by said input section and a setting section used by Zimmerman⁷⁸⁹ (470 in figure 12) which discloses the feature that sets various parameters for use in subsequent analysis of sound signals received by said input section in accordance with the pitch limits (column 20 lines 7-8) characteristics of the sound signal extracted by said characteristic extraction section (55 in figure 2, see column 10 lines 12-26), including at least a threshold value (465 in figure 12) as well as reading on the feature of a display section that visually displays the pitch limits characteristics.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/371,760

Art Unit: 2654

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 22 & 5, 24 and 26 are allowed.

- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
- Claims 22, 24 and 26 identify the uniquely distinct features of "extracting volume levels, setting thresholds and <u>displaying both</u> levels and <u>thresholds</u>."
- The closest prior art, Zimmerman⁷⁸⁹, discloses the feature that an input section that receives sound signals to be analyzed (2nd line of the Abstract), a characteristic extraction section that extracts a volume level of a sound signal as it is received by said input section ("amplitude" in 4th line of the Abstract); and sets various parameters for use in subsequent analysis of sound with the volume level of the sound signal extracted by said characteristic extraction section, including at least a threshold value (column 17 lines 25-28) and displays keeping a pitch within range (figure 12).

Because the characteristics of volume and pitch are not intrinsically similar, the simultaneous display of both volume and volume thresholds would not be considered to be an intuitive equivalent of the pitch example and so the prior art of record fails to anticipate or render the above underlined limitations obvious.

 Claim 5 depends on a claim that was allowed and so does it become allowable as a consequence. Application/Control Number: 09/371,760

Art Unit: 2654

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Daniel A. Nolan at telephone (703) 305-1368 whose normal business hours are Mon, Tue, Thu & Fri, from 7 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, supervisor Richemond Dorvil can be reached at (703)305-9645.

The fax phone number for Technology Center 2600 is (703)872-9314. Label informal and draft communications as "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED", & designate formal communications as "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE". Formal response to this action may be faxed according to the above instructions,

Art Unit: 2654

or mailed to: Mail Stop AF (or CPA, etc. – see Official Gazette, 04 November 2003)

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or hand-deliver to: Crystal Park 2,

2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA,

Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office at telephone number (703) 306-0377.

Daniel A. Nolan Examiner Art Unit 2654 Page 6

DAN/d February 1, 2004

> RICHEMOND DORVIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER